

1. List all the strings with length exactly 8 that can be generated using this grammar:
 $S \rightarrow SaSbS \mid \epsilon$. Write these strings in the indicated boxes. [14 points]

Is the above grammar ambiguous, or is it unambiguous? Justify your answer.
[6 points]

2. A *perfect number* is an integer that equals the sum of its proper positive divisors. Thus 6 is perfect because $1 + 2 + 3 = 6$, and 28 is perfect because $1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14 = 28$. Write a recursive Impcore function (`perfect m`) that returns 1 when m is perfect, but that returns 0 otherwise. You may write helper functions, and you may call functions provided in the text such as `mod`. But do not use `while` or `set` expressions. [10 points]

3. Suppose Impcore provides a new built-in expression (switch e_0 v_1 e_1 v_2 e_2 ... v_n e_n) with the following meaning. First e_0 is evaluated. If the result is v_1 , then evaluate e_1 ; else if it is v_2 , then evaluate e_2 ; ...; else if it is v_n , then evaluate e_n ; else return the default value 0. Complete these Impcore-style natural operational semantics rules corresponding to the AST node SWITCH($e_0, v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \dots, v_n, e_n$). [15 points]

The first rule should handle the k^{th} case, for arbitrary k in the range from 1 to n :

$\langle e_0, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow$

(SWITCH_KTH_CASE)

$\langle \text{SWITCH}(e_0, v_1, e_1, \dots, v_n, e_n), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow$

The second rule should handle the default case:

$\langle e_0, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow$

(SWITCH_DEFAULT)

$\langle \text{SWITCH}(e_0, v_1, e_1, \dots, v_n, e_n), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow$

4. Draw a diagram that illustrates the internal representation of this S-expression:
 (1 (2 (3 4)) (()) ((5 6) 7 . 8)) [10 points]

5. Implement a `sum_of_products` function in Scheme, as described below. You may write helper functions. But do not use `while` or `set` expressions.

Example: `(sum_of_products '((1 2 3 4) (5 6 7) (8 9)))` returns 306, because $1*2*3*4 + 5*6*7 + 8*9 = 24 + 210 + 72 = 306$.

Example: `(sum_of_products '((5 10) (15)))` returns 65, because $5*10 + 15 = 65$.

- a. First write the `sum_of_products` function using recursion. Do not use `map`, `foldr`, or `foldl`. **[10 points]**

- b. Next write the `sum_of_products` function using `map`, `foldr`, and/or `foldl`. Do not use any explicit recursion. **[10 points]**

6. Explain what unusual thing happens when each of these Scheme expressions is evaluated.

- a. `((lambda (x) (x x)) (lambda (x) (x x)))` **[7 points]**

- b. ((lambda (x) (list2 x (list2 (quote quote) x)))
 (quote (lambda (x) (list2 x (list2 (quote quote) x))))) [7 points]

7. Scheme provides both `let` and `let*` for defining local variables. Consider this example:

```
-> (val a 10)
10
-> (let ((a 20) (b (* a a))) (+ b 1))
101
-> (let* ((a 20) (b (* a a))) (+ b 1))
401
```

With `let`, variable `b` gets value $10 * 10 = 100$. With `let*`, variable `b` gets value $20 * 20 = 400$.

- a. First show that each use of `let*` can be replaced by uses of `let`. That is, write an expression using `let` that is equivalent to `(let* ((x1 e1) (x2 e2) ... (xn en)) e)`.
Hint: consider the above example. [8 points]

- b. Next show that each use of `let` can be replaced by uses of `let*`. That is, write an expression using `let*` that is equivalent to `(let ((x1 e1) (x2 e2) ... (xn en)) e)`.
Hint: consider the above example. [8 points]